This isCOURT FILE NO.: CV-25-00001061-0000

## SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE - ONTARIO

7755 Hurontario Street, Brampton ON L6W 4T6

**RE:** 2246733 (2010) ONTARIO LTD., plaintiff

AND:

GANDHI, Harsimrat, AHUJA, Surinder,

AARAV HOLDINGS INC., AHUJA HOLDINGS INC.,

ARCADEIUM DEVELOPMENT CORP.,

MAYFIELD ARCADEIUM HOLDINGS LTD., defendant

**BEFORE:** Justice LEMAY

**COUNSEL:** WALKER, Tanya, for the plaintiff

tanya@tcwalkerlawyers.com

THOMSON, Matthew

mthomson@tcwalkerlawyers.com

Defendants are Self-Represented (not attending)

**HEARD:** September 9, 2025, by video conference

## **ENDORSEMENT**

- [1] This is an *ex parte* motion for the payment of monies into Court. Having reviewed the materials and heard the submissions of counsel, I am not persuaded that an Order should be granted actually requiring the payment of monies into Court without the opportunity for the Defendants to be heard. I am, however, persuaded, that an order freezing monies in trust pending the service of the motion record and the return of this matter to Court should be issued.
- [2] Given that I am not hearing the merits of this motion, I will only make limited comments, and I note that those comments are not binding on any judge hearing the motion on a full record. However, I will observe that I am of the view that monies that are being held in trust should be frozen up to the sum of \$200,000 pending the return of this matter to Court because I do accept that there is the

possibility of the dissipation of assets. In particular, promises appear to have been made by one or more of the Defendants to return the monies when certain events had transpired. Those events have passed and no monies have been returned. In addition, it appears that the litigation is being delayed and the Defendants are not moving forward with their required steps in the litigation.

- [3] As a result, I have provided directions as set out in the order issued today. That order is of effect as of when it was signed.
- [4] These directions are designed to preserve the rights of both parties. The Plaintiffs' rights are preserved because of the freezing of the monies. The Defendants' rights are preserved because this is an interim order and subject to variation. I would also note that the Court has booked a date for the return of this matter very promptly in order to assist the parties in moving the matter forward.
- [5] The motion date on October 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2025 is for one hour **total** for all parties.
- [6] As noted in the order, costs of today's appearance are reserved.

teller Alka J.